UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 CASE NO. MJ07-182 Plaintiff, 5 v. 6 JOHN E. MCDANIEL, **DETENTION ORDER** 7 Defendant. 8 9 Offense charged: 10 Count I: Felon in Possession of a Firearm, in violation of Title 18, U.S.C., 11 Sections 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). 12 Date of Detention Hearing: April 13, 2007. 13 The Court, having conducted a contested detention hearing pursuant to Title 18 14 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention 15 hereafter set forth, finds that no condition or combination of conditions which the defendant 16 can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety 17 of any other person and the community. The Government was represented by Michael Dion. 18 The defendant was represented by Peter Avenia. 19 FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION 20 (1) The defendant presents a risk of non-appearance given his extensive 21 history of failure to comply with court orders and instances of failures to 22 appear. Additionally, the Court finds that the nature of the instant offense 23 points to the defendants continued disregard for compliance with court 24 orders that place him on notice not to possess a firearm.. 25 (2) The defendant presents a risk of danger to the community based on his 26 **DETENTION ORDER**

PAGE -1-

Ma

extensive criminal history, including but not limited to several convictions for violent offenses, multiple protection/no contact order violations, and two VUCSA convictions.

(3) The defendant appears to have significant community ties given the number of friends and family present at today's hearing; under usual circumstances this would provide the Court with additional assurances of the defendant's ability to comply with conditions of release. However, this fact alone does not persuade the Court. The instant offense, if true, is a particularly brazen act of non-compliance of the law and well-publicized security measures taken at present-day airports. Yet, the defendant, a convicted felon, was willing to take an extreme risk when he attempted to send a firearm through checked luggage at an airport. Release of the defendant, therefore, would pose a risk to the community.

Thus, there is no condition or combination of conditions that would reasonably assure future court appearances.

It is therefore ORDERED:

- (l) Defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correctional facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences, or being held in custody pending appeal;
- (2) Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel;
- (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the correctional facility in which Defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court

proceeding; and

(4) The clerk shall direct copies of this order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States Pretrial Services Officer.

DATED this 16th day of April, 2007.

Monica J. Benton U.S. Magistrate Judge